Geometric optics for quasilinear hyperbolic boundary value problems

Postdoc day

Corentin Kilque, ENSTA Paris November 9, 2022

Ph.D. work with advisor Jean-François Coulombel

Introduction

Problem introduction

wh

We consider the following problem

- the unknown u^{ε} is a (regular) function from Ω_T to \mathbb{R}^N , $N \ge 2$,
- for all j = 1, ..., d 1, A_j is a regular map from \mathbb{R}^N into $\mathcal{M}_N(\mathbb{R})$,
- B belongs to $\mathcal{M}_{M,N}(\mathbb{R})$ for some $1 \leq M \leq N$ and is of maximal rank.

Problem introduction

We consider the following problem

$$L(u^{\varepsilon}, \partial_{z}) u^{\varepsilon} := \partial_{t} u^{\varepsilon} + \sum_{j=1}^{d} A_{j}(u^{\varepsilon}) \partial_{x_{j}} u^{\varepsilon} = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega_{T},$$

$$B u^{\varepsilon}_{|x_{d}=0} = \varepsilon g^{\varepsilon} \quad \text{on } \omega_{T}, \quad (1$$

$$u^{\varepsilon}_{|t\leq 0} = 0, \quad \uparrow u^{\varepsilon}$$

The dependency in ε of the system comes from the boundary term $\varepsilon g^{\varepsilon}$, where g^{ε} is given by, for $z' \in \omega_T$,

$$g^{arepsilon}(z') = G\left(z', rac{z' \cdot arphi}{arepsilon}, rac{z' \cdot \psi}{arepsilon}
ight)$$

where G belongs to $H^{\infty}(\omega_{T} \times \mathbb{T}^{2})$, zero for negative times t, and φ, ψ are in $\mathbb{R}^{d} \setminus \{0\}$.

$$\Omega_{T}, z$$

$$\chi_{d}$$

$$\varphi \mathcal{N}_{T}$$

$$\varphi \mathcal{N}_{O(\varepsilon)}$$

$$\mathcal{N}_{T}, z'$$

$$\psi T$$

$$\omega_{T}, z'$$

$$t$$

- \rightarrow We are interested here in the qualitative properties of the solution u^{ε} to (1) when the wavelength ε in (1) is small, that is, in the high frequency regime.
- → Following the analysis of Lax and Hunter-Majda-Rosales, we look for an exact solution to (1) under the form of a formal series, i.e. a WKB expansion reading as $(-\Phi(z)) = (-\Phi(z)) = (-\Phi(z))$

$$\varepsilon U_1\left(z, \frac{\Phi(z)}{\varepsilon}\right) + \varepsilon^2 U_2\left(z, \frac{\Phi(z)}{\varepsilon}\right) + \varepsilon^3 U_3\left(z, \frac{\Phi(z)}{\varepsilon}\right) + \cdots,$$
 (2)

where Φ contains the phases of the solution. This is the framework of geometric optics.

- → In the weakly non-linear framework, in the high frequency asymptotic (i.e. when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$), the leading profile U_1 is proven to satisfy a quasi-linear system.
- \rightarrow The exact solution to (1) is then to be approximated by a truncated sum of the expansion (2).

Difficulties of the problem

Brief state of the art i

- Same boundary value problem, but with only one phase on the boundary:
 - → Mark Williams. "Singular pseudodifferential operators, symmetrizers, and oscillatory multidimensional shocks". In: J. Funct. Anal. 191.1 (2002), pp. 132–209,
 - → Jean-François Coulombel, Olivier Gues, and Mark Williams. "Resonant leading order geometric optics expansions for quasilinear hyperbolic fixed and free boundary problems". In: Comm. Partial Differential Equations 36.10 (2011), pp. 1797–1859,
 - → Matthew Hernandez. "Resonant leading term geometric optics expansions with boundary layers for quasilinear hyperbolic boundary problems". In: Comm. Partial Differential Equations 40.3 (2015), pp. 387–437.

- Multiple phases for a semilinear problem:
 - → Jean-Luc Joly, Guy Métivier, and Jeffrey Rauch. "Coherent nonlinear waves and the Wiener algebra". In: Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 44.1 (1994), pp. 167–196,
 - → Mark Williams. "Nonlinear geometric optics for hyperbolic boundary problems". In: Comm. Partial Differential Equations 21.11-12 (1996), pp. 1829–1895.
- Multiple phases for the quasilinear Cauchy problem:
 - → Jean-Luc Joly, Guy Métivier, and Jeffrey Rauch. "Coherent and focusing multidimensional nonlinear geometric optics". In: Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 28.1 (1995), pp. 51–113.

- Boundary value problems.
- Multiple phases on the boundary.
 - → By nonlinearity, it creates a countable infinite set of frequencies inside the domain, making more complex the functional framework.

1st work: strongly stable systems



 $arphi,\psi$ on the boundary.



 $arphi,\psi$ on the boundary.

 \rightarrow Lattice $\mathcal{F}_{b} := \varphi \mathbb{Z} \oplus \psi \mathbb{Z}$ on the boundary.



Lattice $\mathcal{F}_b := arphi \mathbb{Z} \oplus \psi \mathbb{Z}$ on the boundary.

 \rightarrow For each $\zeta \in \mathcal{F}_b$: (ζ, ξ) inside the domain, with



Lattice $\mathcal{F}_b := \varphi \mathbb{Z} \oplus \psi \mathbb{Z}$ on the boundary.

→ For each $\zeta \in \mathcal{F}_b$: (ζ, ξ) inside the domain, with (i) Im $\xi > 0$



Lattice $\mathcal{F}_b := \varphi \mathbb{Z} \oplus \psi \mathbb{Z}$ on the boundary.

→ For each ζ ∈ F_b: (ζ, ξ) inside the domain, with
(i) Im ξ > 0
(ii) ξ ∈ ℝ and (ζ, ξ) characteristic^a and incoming

 ${}^{s} \alpha = (\tau, \eta, \xi) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{R}$ is characteristic if det $\left(\tau I + \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} \eta_{j} A_{j}(0) + \xi A_{d}(0)\right) = 0.$



Lattice $\mathcal{F}_b := \varphi \mathbb{Z} \oplus \psi \mathbb{Z}$ on the boundary.

- \rightarrow For each $\zeta \in \mathcal{F}_b$: (ζ, ξ) inside the domain, with
 - (i) Im ξ > 0
 (ii) ξ ∈ ℝ and (ζ, ξ) characteristic^a and incoming.

→ Set of frequencies $\mathcal{F}_0 := \{(\zeta, \xi) \mid \zeta \in \mathcal{F}_b \setminus \{0\}\}$ inside the domain.

 ${}^{s}\alpha = (\tau,\eta,\xi) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{R} \text{ is characteristic if } \det \left(\tau I + \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} \eta_{j} A_{j}(0) + \xi A_{d}(0)\right) = 0.$



Set of frequencies $\mathcal{F}_0 := \{(\zeta, \xi) \mid \zeta \in \mathcal{F}_b \setminus \{0\}\}$ inside the domain.

 \rightarrow For each α_1, α_2 incoming,



Set of frequencies $\mathcal{F}_0 := \{ (\zeta, \xi) \mid \zeta \in \mathcal{F}_b \setminus \{0\} \}$ inside the domain.

 \rightarrow For each α_1, α_2 incoming, since

$$a_1(z) e^{i z \cdot \alpha_1} \times a_2(z) e^{i z \cdot \alpha_2} = a_1(z) a_2(z) e^{i z \cdot (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)},$$



Set of frequencies $\mathcal{F}_0 := \{(\zeta, \xi) \mid \zeta \in \mathcal{F}_b \setminus \{0\}\}$ inside the domain.

 \rightarrow For each α_1, α_2 incoming, since

$$\mathsf{a}_1(z)\,\mathsf{e}^{i\,z\cdot\alpha_1} imes \mathsf{a}_2(z)\,\mathsf{e}^{i\,z\cdot\alpha_2}=\mathsf{a}_1(z)\,\mathsf{a}_2(z)\,\mathsf{e}^{i\,z\cdot(lpha_1+lpha_2)},$$

if $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2$ is also characteristic, there is resonance.



Set of frequencies $\mathcal{F}_0 := \{(\zeta, \xi) \mid \zeta \in \mathcal{F}_b \setminus \{0\}\}$ inside the domain.

 \rightarrow For each α_1, α_2 incoming, since

$$\mathsf{a}_1(z)\,\mathsf{e}^{i\,z\cdotlpha_1} imes \mathsf{a}_2(z)\,\mathsf{e}^{i\,z\cdotlpha_2}=\mathsf{a}_1(z)\,\mathsf{a}_2(z)\,\mathsf{e}^{i\,z\cdot(lpha_1+lpha_2)},$$

if $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2$ is also characteristic, there is resonance. If $\alpha_3 := \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$ is outgoing, a new frequency is created.



For each α_1, α_2 incoming, since

$$a_1(z) e^{i z \cdot \alpha_1} \times a_2(z) e^{i z \cdot \alpha_2} = a_1(z) a_2(z) e^{i z \cdot (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)},$$

if $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2$ is also characteristic, there is resonance. If $\alpha_3 := \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$ is outgoing, a new frequency is created.

→ Set of frequencies $\mathcal{F} := \{(\zeta, \xi) \mid \zeta \in \mathcal{F}_b \setminus \{0\}\}$ inside the domain.

Functional framework : almost-periodic functions

We need a functional framework allowing to consider functions of the form

$$\sum_{lpha \in \mathcal{F}} U_lpha(z) \, e^{i z \cdot lpha / arepsilon}.$$

We introduce new fast variables $\theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2) = (z' \cdot \varphi/\varepsilon, z' \cdot \psi/\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{T}^2$ and $\chi_d := x_d/\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_+$ so that, if $\alpha = (\zeta, \xi) = (n_1\varphi + n_2\psi, \xi)$,

$$U_{lpha}(z)\,e^{iz\cdotlpha/arepsilon}=\,U_{lpha}(z)\,e^{in_1\, heta_1}\,e^{in_2\, heta_2}\,e^{i\xi\,\chi_d}$$

We use the framework of almost-periodic functions in the sense of Bohr. Roughly, these are series of the form

$$\sum_{lpha} U_{lpha}(z) \, e^{i n_1 heta_1} \, e^{i n_2 heta_2} e^{i \xi \chi_d}$$

with uniform convergence and norm for (x_d, χ_d) and of Sobolev type for (z', θ) .

We look for an approximate solution of (1) under the form of a formal series $u^{\varepsilon, app}(z) = v(z, z' \cdot \varphi/\varepsilon, z' \cdot \psi/\varepsilon, x_d/\varepsilon)$, where v is given by

$$\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{z}, \theta, \chi_d) := \sum_{k \geqslant 1} \varepsilon^k U_k(\mathbf{z}, \theta, \chi_d),$$

with U_1 an almost periodic function in the sense of Bohr.

Theorem (K. 2021)

Under the uniform Kreiss-Lopatinskii condition and with assumptions on the set of resonances, for $s \ge 0$ large enough, there exists a time T > 0 and a leading profile U_1 solution to the problem (3) given below, that governs the evolution of the leading profile.

For $u^{\varepsilon, app}$ to formally satisfy the system (1), a WKB study and a decoupling of the cascade obtained shows that the leading profile U_1 has to satisfy the following system

$$\mathbf{E} U_1 = U_1 \tag{3a}$$

$$\mathbf{E}\Big[L(0,\partial_z)\,U_1+\mathcal{M}(U_1,U_1)\Big]=0\tag{3b}$$

$$B U_1|_{x_d=0,\chi_d=0} = G$$
 (3c)

$$U_1|_{t\leqslant 0}=0. \tag{3d}$$

with **E** a projector.

Existence of a solution to (3) is obtained using energy estimates without loss of derivative. Two terms have to be treated.

If U_1 reads as

$$U_1(z, heta,\chi_d) = \sum_{\alpha} U^1_{\alpha}(z) e^{in_1 heta_1} e^{in_2 heta_2} e^{i\xi\chi_d},$$

then the transport part $\mathbf{E}[L(0,\partial_z) U_1]$ reads as a sum of transport terms

$$\mathbf{E}\big[L(0,\partial_z) U_1\big] = \sum_{\alpha} \left(\partial_t + \mathbf{v}_{\alpha} \cdot \nabla_x\right) U_{\alpha}^1(z) \, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} n_1 \theta_1} \, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} n_2 \theta_2} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \xi \chi_d},$$

which are easy to treat in energy estimates.

Remark. The sign of the x_d -component of v_{α} determines if the frequency α is incoming or outgoing.

Quadratic part

As for the quadratic term $\mathsf{E} \big[\mathcal{M}(U_1, U_1) \big]$, we have

$$\mathbf{E}\big[\mathcal{M}(U_1, U_1)\big] = \sum_{\alpha, \alpha'} \pi_{\alpha+\alpha'} L_1\big(U_\alpha^1, \mathbf{n}_1' \varphi + \mathbf{n}_2' \psi\big) U_{\alpha'}^1 e^{i(n_1+n_1')\theta_1} e^{i(n_2+n_2')\theta_2} e^{i(\xi+\xi')\chi_d}$$

Quadratic part

As for the quadratic term $\textbf{E}\big[\mathcal{M}(\textit{U}_{1},\textit{U}_{1})\big],$ we have

$$\mathbf{E}\left[\mathcal{M}(U_1, U_1)\right] = \sum_{\alpha, \alpha'} \pi_{\alpha+\alpha'} L_1\left(U_{\alpha}^1, n_1' \varphi + n_2' \psi\right) U_{\alpha'}^1 e^{i(n_1+n_1')\theta_1} e^{i(n_2+n_2')\theta_2} e^{i(\xi+\xi')\chi_d}$$

Remarks.

• This term is quadratic.

As for the quadratic term $\mathbf{E}[\mathcal{M}(U_1, U_1)]$, we have

 $\mathbf{E}\left[\mathcal{M}(U_1, U_1)\right] = \sum_{\alpha, \alpha'} \pi_{\alpha+\alpha'} L_1\left(U_{\alpha}^1, \mathbf{n}'_1 \varphi + \mathbf{n}'_2 \psi\right) U_{\alpha'}^1 e^{i(n_1+n'_1)\theta_1} e^{i(n_2+n'_2)\theta_2} e^{i(\xi+\xi')\chi_d}.$

- This term is quadratic.
- The coefficient $(n'_1 \varphi + n'_2 \psi)$ acts as a derivative in θ .

As for the quadratic term $\mathsf{E} \big[\mathcal{M}(\mathit{U}_1, \mathit{U}_1) \big]$, we have

 $\mathbf{E}\left[\mathcal{M}(U_1, U_1)\right] = \sum_{\alpha, \alpha'} \pi_{\alpha + \alpha'} L_1\left(U_{\alpha}^1, n_1' \varphi + n_2' \psi\right) U_{\alpha'}^1 e^{i(n_1 + n_1')\theta_1} e^{i(n_2 + n_2')\theta_2} e^{i(\xi + \xi')\chi_d}.$

- This term is quadratic.
- The coefficient $\left(n_{1}^{\prime} \, \varphi + n_{2}^{\prime} \, \psi \right)$ acts as a derivative in heta.
- Only the terms for which the frequency $\alpha+\alpha'$ is characteristic remain, this is resonance.

As for the quadratic term $\mathsf{E}\big[\mathcal{M}(\mathit{U}_1,\mathit{U}_1)\big]$, we have

 $\mathbf{E}\left[\mathcal{M}(U_1, U_1)\right] = \sum_{\alpha, \alpha'} \pi_{\alpha+\alpha'} L_1\left(U_{\alpha}^1, \mathbf{n}'_1 \varphi + \mathbf{n}'_2 \psi\right) U_{\alpha'}^1 e^{i(n_1+n'_1)\theta_1} e^{i(n_2+n'_2)\theta_2} e^{i(\xi+\xi')\chi_d}.$

- This term is quadratic.
- The coefficient $\left(\textit{n}_{1}^{\prime}\, \varphi + \textit{n}_{2}^{\prime}\, \psi
 ight)$ acts as a derivative in heta.
- Only the terms for which the frequency $\alpha + \alpha'$ is characteristic remain, this is resonance.
 - → If α and α' are collinear, there is always resonance, this is called selfinteraction, and generates terms of Burgers type $(u \partial_{\theta} u)$.

As for the quadratic term $\mathsf{E}\big[\mathcal{M}(\mathit{U}_1,\mathit{U}_1)\big]$, we have

 $\mathbf{E}\left[\mathcal{M}(U_1, U_1)\right] = \sum_{\alpha, \alpha'} \pi_{\alpha+\alpha'} L_1\left(U_{\alpha}^1, \mathbf{n}'_1 \varphi + \mathbf{n}'_2 \psi\right) U_{\alpha'}^1 e^{i(n_1+n'_1)\theta_1} e^{i(n_2+n'_2)\theta_2} e^{i(\xi+\xi')\chi_d}.$

- This term is quadratic.
- The coefficient $\left(n_{1}^{\prime} \varphi + n_{2}^{\prime} \psi\right)$ acts as a derivative in θ .
- Only the terms for which the frequency $\alpha + \alpha'$ is characteristic remain, this is resonance.
 - → If α and α' are collinear, there is always resonance, this is called selfinteraction, and generates terms of Burgers type $(u \partial_{\theta} u)$.
 - \rightarrow If not, this is a real resonance, and generates terms of convolution type, that are more difficult to handle.

Quadratic part

As for the quadratic term $\mathbf{E}[\mathcal{M}(U_1, U_1)]$, we have

 $\mathbf{E}\big[\mathcal{M}(U_1, U_1)\big] = \sum_{\alpha, \alpha'} \pi_{\alpha+\alpha'} L_1\big(U_\alpha^1, \mathbf{n}_1' \varphi + \mathbf{n}_2' \psi\big) U_{\alpha'}^1 e^{i(n_1+n_1')\theta_1} e^{i(n_2+n_2')\theta_2} e^{i(\xi+\xi')\chi_d}.$

- This term is quadratic.
- The coefficient $(n'_1 \varphi + n'_2 \psi)$ acts as a derivative in θ .
- Only the terms for which the frequency $\alpha + \alpha'$ is characteristic remain, this is resonance.
 - → If α and α' are collinear, there is always resonance, this is called selfinteraction, and generates terms of Burgers type $(u \partial_{\theta} u)$.
 - \rightarrow If not, this is a real resonance, and generates terms of convolution type, that are more difficult to handle.
- The main additional difficulty compared to [Joly-Métivier-Rauch 1995] is the lack of symmetry in the resonance terms.

To prove stability, one solution consists in studying the difference

$$u^{\varepsilon} - \sum_{k=1}^{N} \varepsilon^{k} U_{k}\left(., \frac{\Phi_{k}(.)}{\varepsilon}\right),$$

but we do not know if the exact solution u^{ε} exists on a time interval independent of ε .

• One could also use a large number of correctors U_k of the expansion

$$u^{arepsilon, \mathsf{app}} \sim \sum_{k \geqslant 1} arepsilon^k U_k(z, heta, \chi_d).$$

This leads to questions about the functional framework.

2nd work: instability of the expansion

Weakening the assumption on the boundary allows amplification to happen on the boundary.

Considering a perturbation H of small amplitude $O(\varepsilon^M)$ ($M \ge 3$) of a periodic forcing boundary term G of amplitude $O(\varepsilon^2)$,

$$\varepsilon g^{\varepsilon}(z') = \varepsilon^2 G\left(z', \frac{z' \cdot \varphi}{\varepsilon}\right) + \varepsilon^M H\left(z', \frac{z' \cdot \psi}{\varepsilon}\right),$$

with a particular configuration of boundary frequencies φ and ψ , we prove (K. 2022), on a study model, that an instability may be created.



Thank you for your attention !